


**2005**

**State Responsibility Area**

**5 Year Review**






Dale T. Geldert, Director  
Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

11/17/03

Date



Stan L. Dixon, Chair  
Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

Nov. 16, 2005

Date

## **Public Resource Code**

**4125.** (a) The board shall classify all lands within the state, without regard to any classification of lands made by or for any federal agency or purpose, for the purpose of determining areas in which the financial responsibility of preventing and suppressing fires is primarily the responsibility of the state. The prevention and suppression of fires in all areas that are not so classified is primarily the responsibility of local or federal agencies, as the case may be. (b) On or before July 1, 1991, and every 5th year thereafter, the department shall provide copies of maps identifying the boundaries of lands classified as state responsibility pursuant to subdivision (a) to the county assessor for every county containing any of those lands. The department shall also notify county assessors of any changes to state responsibility areas within the county resulting from periodic boundary modifications approved by the board. (c) A notice shall be posted at the offices of the county recorder, county assessor, and county planning agency that identifies the location of the map, and of any information received by the county subsequent to the receipt of the map regarding changes to state responsibility areas within the county.

**4126.** The board shall include within state responsibility areas all of the following lands: (a) Lands covered wholly or in part by forests or by trees producing or capable of producing forest products. (b) Lands covered wholly or in part by timber, brush, undergrowth, or grass, whether of commercial value or not, which protect the soil from excessive erosion, retard runoff of water or accelerate water percolation, if such lands are sources of water which is available for irrigation or for domestic or industrial use. (c) Lands in areas which are principally used or useful for range or forage purposes, which are contiguous to the lands described in subdivisions (a) and (b).

**4127.** The board shall not include within state responsibility areas any of the following lands: (a) Lands owned or controlled by the federal government or any agency of the federal government. (b) Lands within the exterior boundaries of any city, except a city and county with a population of less than 25,000 if, at the time the city and county government is established, the county contains no municipal corporations. (c) Any other lands within the state which do not come within any of the classes which are described in Section 4126.

**4128.** In establishing boundaries of state responsibility areas, the board may, for purposes of administrative convenience, designate roads, pipelines, streams, or other recognizable landmarks as arbitrary boundaries.

## **SRA CRITERIA**

### **SRA IS**

1. Forested lands
2. Trees, brush, grass covered lands protecting the watershed
3. Range lands - from the 1st significant slope to the first line of convenience

### **SRA is NOT**

1. Federally owned or controlled lands
2. Lands within a city or town limits
3. Lands which do not meet the above SRA criteria

Exclusions from watershed lands are:

- Irrigated permanent crops lands
  1. Must be 1000 acres or larger if an island
  2. Can be any size if an intrusion
- Residential or commercial areas
  1. Usually the building density of 3 or more per acre
  2. If an island, must be 250 acres or more, can be any size if an intrusion
  3. Modification of watershed to control run-off of water
  4. Commercial development where watershed modified to control water run-off
- Little rainfall (not watershed land)
  1. Less than 10" of rainfall per year
  2. No evidence of water storage, or use

### **Lines of Convenience**

The Board may, for purposes of administrative convenience, designate roads, pipelines, streams, or other recognizable landmarks as arbitrary boundaries.

Prior to 2000, the review was completed on topographic maps using colored pencils to mark the various changes. Each of the units and contract counties first marked on the maps the city boundaries, forest, BLM, National Park, military boundaries. The units then marked the current State Responsibility Areas (SRA). The units then made any changes to these boundaries due to annexations and incorporations, land use or ownership changes. These changes, when approved by the Unit Chief and Region Chief, were submitted to Sacramento for digitizing and preparation to be submitted the Director and the to the Board of Forestry.

This year, Sacramento HQ Fire Protection, working with FRAP, developed a standardized “paperless” process which was communicated to field Units during regional SRA workshops. Most Units were able to obtain digital parcel boundaries from local government, which provided timely and accurate information for SRA related factors such as federal land ownership, incorporated city boundaries, and housing densities. In addition, high resolution digital aerial photography obtained in 2004 for most SRA lands allowed most Units to accurately identify and map land use changes.

Comparison of the 2000 and 2005 SRA reviews provides evidence that utilizing digital data sources improves our ability to track SRA changes. The acreage moving out of SRA due to land use changes or land swaps increased almost ten-fold, from about 22,000 in 2000 to almost 200,000 in 2005. The converse, acreage added to SRA, changed over six-fold, from about 50,000 in 2000 to over 330,000 in 2005. This huge increase in the quantity of land moving both in and out of SRA is directly related to our improved tracking ability using digital assessor data and aerial photography.

The 2005 SRA review is part of an ongoing process to improve the overall quality of SRA and other fire related data. This includes moving to parcel level accuracy, which ensures that our data matches that used by local government cooperators, allows us to clearly identify which parcels are in or out of SRA, and facilitates integration of this data into other systems and for multiple uses, including the new CAD system to improve our incident response capabilities.

During the last 2 years, the Department changed the SRA data from a scale of 1:100,000 to a scale of 1:24,000. This provides a much more accurate Responsibility Area map. Many of the changes listed occurred because of this recalibration of the data. Also, better property ownership data has identified many errors in past SRA maps. These errors, some rather large, have been included into this package.

The 2005 5-year review resulted in the following changes to SRA:

<b>Total State Responsibility Area (SRA) Acres 2004 Redbook</b>	<b>31,078,660</b>
<b>City Annexations and Incorporations</b>	<b>-40,187</b>
<b>Federal Land Acquisitions</b>	<b>-99,717</b>
<b>Deleted from SRA Land Use Changes (agricultural and development)</b>	<b>-92,691</b>
<b>Added to SRA Land Use Changes and Line of Convenience</b>	<b>330,143</b>
<b>Data error to SRA</b>	<b>187,264</b>
<b>Year 2005 SRA Acres</b>	<b>31,363,472</b>
<b>2005 SRA Gain</b>	<b>+284,812</b>

		LRA to SRA	FRA to SRA	FRA to LRA	SRA to LRA	LRA to FRA	SRA to FRA
<b>AEU - Amador/El Dorado Unit</b>							
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
AEUSRA2005-4	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		3,662				
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
AEUSRA2005-1	Due to development, this land does not meet the requirement for SRA. Camer Park area.				1,037		
AEUSRA2005-2	Due to development, this land does not meet the requirement for SRA. El Dorado Hills				1,514		
AEUSRA2005-3	Due to development, this land does not meet the requirement for SRA. Rancho Murrieta area.				705		
<b>BDU - San Bernardino Unit</b>							
	<b>Data Errors</b>						
HUUSRA2005-4	A very large polygon In Owens Valley that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SRA	114,743					
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
BDUSRA2005-3	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership. 1/4 mile east of Lake Arrowhe:		44				
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
BDUSRA2005-1	Incorporation and annexations				13,760		
BDUSRA2005-2	Lands under the control of the US Forest Service						523
<b>BEU - San Benito/Monterey Unit</b>							
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
BEUSRA2005 1-7 & 9-31	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		4,192				
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
BEUSRA2005-8	Lands under the control of the US Military					26	

BTU - Butte Unit						
	Data Errors					
BTUSRA2005-2	This represents land that was classified as FRA should have been SRA (sliver). Immediately around the City of Portola	85				
	Lands that meet the requirements for SRA					
BTUSRA2005-1	Various parcels of land throughout Butte County that was sold by the federal government to private ownership		4,451			
BTUSRA2005-11	Various parcels of land throughout the unit that was sold by the federal government to private ownership		6,202			
BTUSRA2005-12	Various parcels of land throughout the unit that was sold by the federal government to private ownership		2,787			
BTUSRA2005-7	Area that meets the requirements of SRA. 3/4 mile to 1.5 miles east of Portola along Hwy 70.	215				
BTUSRA2005-9	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Campbell Hills area between Hwy's 70, 99, and 149, and by the Thermalito Diversion Canal.	12,970				
	Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA					
BTUSRA2005-4	Land that is now agriculture land. In and around the communities of Quincy and East Quincy			4,867		
BTUSRA2005-5	Land that is now agriculture land. Bordered on the east by Hwy 49 south by the Plumas/Sierra County line, west by Beckwourth Calpine Hwy and north by Hwy 70			113		
BTUSRA2005-8	Land that is now agriculture land. On the west side of Hwy 99 between Pine Creek and the Butte/Tehama County line.			1,341		
	Line of Convenience Changes					
BTUSRA2005-10	Line of convenience change	24				
BTUSRA2005-6	Bordered on the east by Hwy 49 south by the Plumas/Sierra County line, west by Beckwourth Calpine Hwy and north by Hwy 70.	160				
	Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases					
BTUSRA2005-3	Incorporation of the City of Portola			15,891		

CZU - San Mateo/Santa Cruz Unit
No Changes

**FKU - Fresno/Kings Unit**

	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
FKUSRA2005-2	Various parcels of land throughout Fresno County that was sold by the federal government to private ownership. All meets the requirement for SRA		1,394			
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>					
FKUSRA2005-1	Lands under the control of the US Government					43

**HUU - Humboldt/Del Norte Unit**

	<b>Data Errors</b>					
HUUSRA2005-3	Errors in the SRA data. This represents land that is within Humboldt and Del Norte Counties. Was classified as LRA should have been SRA	3				
HUUSRA2005-5/14	Yurok Tribe Indian Reservation - Privately owned. Has been classified as FRA but should be Classified SRA. Meets all the requirements for SRA		46,387			
HUUSRA2005-6	Humboldt Lagoons State Park west of Hwy 101 to the ocean. Has been classified as FRA but should be classified as SRA. Meets all the requirements for SRA.		4,632			
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
HUUSRA2005-8	Former federal property that is now privately owned. 32 parcels throughout the Counties of Humboldt and Del Norte		2,008			
HUUSRA2005-15	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Smith River area		260			
HUUSRA2005-16	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Throughout the Counties Humboldt and Del Norte		528			
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
HUUSRA2005-9	Areas surrounding Crescent City . Due to development they no longer meet the requirement of SRA			1,975		
HUUSRA2005-10	Areas on South Sutter and Camellia Drive . Due to development they no longer meet the requirement of SRA			95		
HUUSRA2005-11	Areas around Timber Ridge and Cutten . Due to development they no longer meet the requirement of SRA			98		
HUUSRA2005-12	Areas around Humboldt Hill and Bayview . Due to development they no longer meet the requirement of SRA			429		
	<b>Line of Convenience Changes</b>					
HUUSRA2005-7	Line of Convenience change. The new boundary will be Hwy 101, ocean, to the current SRA boundary to the north to the US 101 rip-rap access gate north of the Vista Point	273				
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>					
HUUSRA2005-1	Annexations by various cities			3		
HUUSRA2005-2	Land purchased by the Federal Government					488
HUUSRA2005-4	Land purchased by the Federal Government					300
HUUSRA2005-13	Annexations - Pelican Bay			280		

LMU - Lassen/Modoc Unit							
	Lands that meet the requirements for SRA						
LMUSRA2005-4	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Throughout the Counties Lassen and Modoc		33,358				
	Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases						
LMUSRA2005-1/3	Annexations by City of Susanville			736	14		
LMUSRA2005-2	Areas within Lassen and Modoc counties that were mis-classified as LRA, however the parcels are owned by the federal government					25,742	

LNU - Sonoma/Lake/Napa Unit							
	Data Errors						
LNUSRA2005-6	This represents land that is within Lake County. Was classified as LRA should have been SRA (sliver). Off Butts Canyon Road along the Guenoc Land Grant Boundary Line.	14					
LNUSRA2005-24	Errors in the SRA data. This represents land that is within Sonoma Counties. Was classified as FRA should have been SRA (sliver). Near "The Geysers" near the Rattlesnake Fire Trail		10				
	Lands that meet the requirements for SRA						
LNUSRA2005-1	Former federal property that is now privately owned. North of Austin Creek State Recreation Area		59				
LNUSRA2005-3	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Adjacent to Putah Creek State Wildlife Area		32				
LNUSRA2005-4	Former federal property that is now privately owned. The parcel is on the east aspect of Blue Ridge		78				
LNUSRA2005-5	Former federal property that is now privately owned. The parcel is off Pleasant Valley Road on the east aspect of Blue Ridge		38				
LNUSRA2005-7	Former federal property that is now privately owned. The parcel is on Butts Canyon Road near the Lake/Napa county line		40				
LNUSRA2005-8	Former federal property that is now privately owned. The parcel is on Butts Canyon Road near the Lake/Napa county line		40				
LNUSRA2005-9	Former federal property that is now privately owned. The parcel is at 15807 Spruce Grove Road		118				
LNUSRA2005-10/11/12/13	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Solano County in the Pleasant Valley area		290				
LNUSRA2005-14 to 23	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Napa County		532				
LNUSRA2005-26 to 33 and 36 to 54, 56	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Lake County		4,730				
LNUSRA2005-25	Former Federal property that is now privately owned. Near the Sonoma/Napa county line		43				
LNUSRA2005-34/35	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Yuba County		1,029				
LNUSRA2005-55, 57, 58, 59	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Colusa County		1,932				

\_\_\_\_\_

<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>							
LNUSRA-2	Administered by the Army Corp of Engineers					16,126	

**MEU - Mendocino Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
MEUSRA2005-2	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Mendocino County		1,312				
MEUSRA2005-4	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Various parcels within Mendocino County		748				
<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>							
MEUSRA2005-1	Federal land purchase. Former Stornette Ranch						1,154
MEUSRA2005-3	Federal land purchase. Former Round Valley Indian Reservation						7,051
MEUSRA2005-5	Federal land purchase. Point Arena Air Force Station						132

**MMU - Madera - Mariposa - Merced Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
MMUSRA2005-2	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Granite Springs Rd. And Hwy 132. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		37				
MMUSRA2005-3, 4	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Piney Creek and Hwy 132	75					
MMUSRA2005-5	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Cemetery ridge near Lake McClure	35					
MMUSRA2005-6	This land meets the requirements for SRA. 2.5 Miles north of the Mariposa/Merced	72					
MMUSRA2005-7	This land meets the requirements for SRA. East/Northeast of Exchequer Dam	50					
MMUSRA2005-8	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Southwest of Exchequer Dam	17					
MMUSRA2005-9	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Merced River drainage west of Jones	37					
MMUSRA2005-10	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Minarets District in the Strawberry Mine	144					
MMUSRA2005-11	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Near Hwy 140 and Carstens Road	39					
MMUSRA2005-12	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Next to Lake Swan	50					
MMUSRA2005-13	This land meets the requirements for SRA. McSwain Dam and Lake McClure Rd.	34					
MMUSRA2005-16	This land meets the requirements for SRA. 3 mile S/E of Cathey's Valley	37					
MMUSRA2005-17	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Miami Creek watershed near Ahwahnee	38					
MMUSRA2005-18	This land meets the requirements for SRA. 1 mile east of the mountain community	19					
<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>							
MMUSRA2005-1, 14-15, 19	Lands under the control of the National Park Service					353	

**MVU - San Diego Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
MVUSRA2005-1 to 35	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Multiple parcels located throughout the Unit		8,714				

**NEU - Nevada/Yuba/Placer Unit**

	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
NEUSRA2005-2	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Multiple parcels located throughout the Unit		24,189			
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
NEUSRA2005-1	Land that is now agriculture land.			88		
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>					
NEUSRA2005-3	Land purchased by the Federal Government				2,701	

**RRU - Riverside Unit**

	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
RRUSRA2005-29	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Santa Rosa Mountains. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		148			
RRUSRA2005-30	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Lake Perris area. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		1,388			
RRUSRA2005-31	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Lake Perris area. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		292			
RRUSRA2005-32	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Lake Perris area. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		1,222			
RRUSRA2005-33	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Moreno Valley area south of Gillman Springs and north of Alessandro Blvd. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		84			
RRUSRA2005-34	This land meets the requirements for SRA. San Jacinto area N/E of the Ramona Expressway and south of Soboba Road. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		219			
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>					
RRUSRA2005-1 to 28	Polygons throughout the county where the land no longer meets the requirement for SRA due to construction and housing density			20,901		

SCU - Santa Clara Unit						
	Data Errors					
SCUSRA2005-12	Errors in the SRA data. This represents land that is within Santa Clara Unit. Edit made to lines to better align SRA with parcel data	1,349				
Lands that meet the requirements for SRA						
SCUSRA2005-1	This land meets the requirements for SRA. It has always been considered SRA. However, in the 2004 SRA data it shows it as LRA, should always have been SRA. <u>Bailey road, McKean Road and the Santa Teresa foothil</u>	5,275				
SCUSRA2005-2	This land meets the requirements for SRA. South of Casa De Fruta next to the drainage at Walnut Ave	577				
SCUSRA2005-4	This land meets the requirements for SRA. It has always been considered SRA. However, in the 2004 SRA data it shows it as LRA, should always have been SRA. <u>Clayton east of the city of san Jose</u>	213				
SCUSRA2005-5	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Western Saratoga Foothil	633				
SCUSRA2005-6	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Hwy 152 and San Felipe Road	230				
SCUSRA2005-7	This land meets the requirements for SRA. This would be considered a line of <u>convenience change. I-5 from Hwy 132 to the Merced County line</u>	385				
SCUSRA2005-8 (a and b)	This land meets the requirements for SRA. South west unincorporated area near Gilroy	586				
SCUSRA2005-9	This land meets the requirements for SRA. It has always been considered SRA. However, in the 2004 SRA data it shows it as LRA, should always have been SRA. <u>San Bruno Ave, west of Morgan Hill</u>	492				
SCUSRA2005-10	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Crockett and Carquinez Scenic Regional Park	1,421				
SCUSRA2005-11	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Long believed to be annex but was not. Hector Pass Hwy, Burchell Rd, and Watsonville Road	1,050				
Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA						
SCUSRA2005_3	Five Canyon Subdivision in Castro Valley and Hayward. The housing density and development makes this land no longer meeting the requirements of SF			451		

**SHU - Shasta/Trinity Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
SHUSRA2005-2	Area that was former agriculture land, now has converted to riparian wildland fuels Between Battle Creek and the Coleman Fish Hatchery Rd.	320					
SHUSRA2005-5	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		4,595				
SHUSRA2005-6	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		5,475				
SHUSRA2005-7	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		1,368				
SHUSRA2005-11	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		4,763				
<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
SHUSRA2005-3	Due to development, this land does not meet the requirement for SRA. Churn Creek, North				751		
<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>							
SHUSRA2005-1, 4, 10	Lands under the control of the Federal government						5,543
SHUSRA2005-9	Incorporation of the cities of Anderson and Redding				43		

**SKU - Siskiyou Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
skusra2005-1	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the Unit		12,667				
<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>							
SKUSRA2005-2	Lands under the control of the Federal government						2,655
SKUSRA2005-3	Incorporation of the cities in the Unit				38		

**SLU - San Luis Obispo Unit**

<b>Data Errors</b>							
SLUSRA2005-1	This land meets the requirements for SRA. It has always been considered SRA. However, in the 2004 SRA data it shows it as LRA, should always have been SRA South of Thompson Road.	1,135					
<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
SLUSRA2005-2	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Various polygons throughout the county. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownersh		3,716				
SLUSRA2005-3	These lands were classified as LRA in the 1980's. Abandoned agriculture land and low rainfall. The area now meets the requirements for SRA. California valley	76,736					
SLUSRA2005-4	These lands were classified as LRA in the 1980's. The area did not develop as planned and now meets the requirements for SRA. East of South Bay Road, Los Osos.	1,123					
<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>							

SLUSRA2005-6	Development of lands South Central Nipoma Mesa. Housing, commercial and Golf Courses				3,807		
SLUSRA2005-7	Permanent vineyards and agriculture north and east of the City of Paso Robles, west of Hwy 101 in Tempelton				35,633		
SLUSRA2005-8	Permanent vineyards around the community of Shandon following the river to the west and in Nipomo and Edna valle				8,611		
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
SLUSRA2005-5	Incorporation and annexations				4,156		
SLUSRA2005-9	Lands under the control of the Bureau of Land Management						1,416

**TCU - Tuolumne/Calaveras Unit**

	<b>Data Errors</b>						
TCUSRA2005-2	Many polygons throughout the county that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SR	8,683					
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
TCUSRA2005-1	Former federal land that now meets the requirements of SRA. Throughout the Unit		8,221				
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
TCUSRA2005-2, 4	Federal Land Ownership						9,202

**TGU - Tehama - Glenn Unit**

	<b>Data Errors</b>						
TGUSRA2005-2	Data errors. Many polygons throughout the county that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SRA	7,513					
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
TGUSRA2005-1, 3	Lands under the control of the US Forest Service						22,771

**TUU - Tulare Unit**

<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
TUUSRA2005-3	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Hillcrest Ave N/E or Granite Hills High School	47					
TUUSRA2005-4	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 184 and Road 248	61					
TUUSRA2005-5	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 232 near Lindsey Peak	105					
TUUSRA2005-6	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Westwood south of Ave 248	104					
TUUSRA2005-7	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Burr Drive north of Ave 248	77					
TUUSRA2005-8	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 2 east of Hwy 65	88					
TUUSRA2005-9	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 16 east of Hwy 165	200					
TUUSRA2005-10	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 16 east of Hwy 165	249					
TUUSRA2005-11	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 70 and Road 267	268					
TUUSRA2005-12	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 70 and Road 267	29					
TUUSRA2005-13	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 80 and Road 264 (M-109)	33					
TUUSRA2005-14	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Ave 104 and Road 267	20					
<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>							
TUUSRA2005-1	Does not meet the requirement because of a permanent tree fruit crop. Meyer drive east of Road 216.				34		
TUUSRA2005-2	Does not meet the requirement because of a permanent citrus grove and gravel mine. Moad 284 and Worth Ave. west of Success Dam.				72		

## CONTRACT COUNTIES

KRN - Kern County							
Lands that meet the requirements for SRA							
KRNSRA2005-2	Former federal property that is now privately owned. Located throughout the county		2,667				
KRNSRA2005-4	Former federal land sold to private that now meets the requirements of SRA. Elk Hills area		70,990				
Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases							
KRNSRA2005-1	Incorporation and annexations				1,694		
KRNSRA2005-3	Lands under the control of the US Government					1,570	
LAC - Los Angeles County							
Data Errors							
LACSRA2005 143, 145, 148, 152, 156, 195	Various polygons in the Unit that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SRA	72					
LACSRA2005 150-151	Errors in the SRA data. Slivers of the city of Santa Clarita			4			
Lands that meet the requirements for SRA							
LACSRA2005 100-101, 103, 105, 107-109, 116, 175, 192	This land meets the requirements for SRA. Various polygons throughout the county. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		825				
LACSRA2005 2-27, 102, 104, 169, 172, 176	Area that meets the requirements of SRA. Many polygons throughout Los Angeles County. Previously federal owned land that has been sold to private ownership.		3,516				
Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA							
LACSRA2005 110-115, 117-118, 120-122, 130, 132, 134-136, 138-141, 162, 177-178, 181-184, 186-191, 193, 198	Due to development, this land does not meet the requirement for SRA.				8,361		

	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
LACSRA2005 127, 194, 203-205	Incorporation and annexations			816			
LACSRA2005 0, 300-301, 303-304, 306	Incorporation and annexations				501		
LACSRA2005 125, 147, 157, 185	Lands under the control of the US Government					133	
LACSRA2005 1, 16b, 28, 173, 200, 201	Lands under the control of the US Government					583	
LACSRA2005 106, 170-171, 196	Lands under the control of the US Government						370

**MRN - Marin County**

	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
MRNSRA2005-4	Former federal land that now meets the requirements of SRA. Middle of the Mt. Tamalpais peak		93				
MRNSRA2005-5	Part of the Mt. Tamalpais State Park. It meets the requirements of SR	18					
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
MRNSRA2005-1, 2, 5, 6	Federal Land Automatic Exclusion					102	


**ORC - Orange County**

	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
ORCSRA2005-1	Various area due to the terrain, fuel type, and threat to current SRA if a fire originates from this area. Diemer Water Plant	144					
ORCSRA2005-3	Various area due to the terrain, fuel type, and threat to current SRA if a fire originates from this area. Birc Laguna Canyon Road	226					
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
ORCSRA2005-2,4	Development causes these areas to not qualify for SR.				1,585		


<b>SBC - Santa Barbara County</b>							
	<b>Data Errors</b>						
SBCSRA2005-7	Many polygons throughout the county that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SRA	1,954					
	<b>Lands that meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
SBCSRA2005-5	Former federal land that now meets the requirements of SRA. Lompoc ar		48				
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
SBCSRA2005-6	Federal Land Ownership					579	
SBCSRA2005-2, 3,4	Incorporations Cities of Goleta and Santa Barbara				759		

<b>VNC - Ventura County</b>							
	<b>Data Errors</b>						
VNCSRA2005-2	This polygon has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data it was shown as LRA. It needs to be changed back to SR/	9					
VNCSRA2005-4	Many polygons throughout the county that has always been SRA. However, in the 2004 data they are shown as LRA. They need to be changed back to SRA	675					
	<b>Lands that do not meet the requirements for SRA</b>						
VNCSRA2005-3	Does not meet the requirement because of development				219		
	<b>Incorporations/Annexations/Federal Land Purchases</b>						
VNCSRA2005-5	Federal Land Automatic Exclusion					154	
VNCSRA2005-1	Incorporation to the City of Simi Valley				1,496		

# Alameda County



# Alpine County No Changes




## Responsibility Areas

- SRA
- FRA
- LRA


## Changes to Responsibility Areas

- To SRA
- To FRA
- To LRA

# Amador County



# Butte County




## Responsibility Areas

- SRA
- FRA
- LRA


## Changes to Responsibility Areas

- To SRA
- To FRA
- To LRA


# Butte County




# Calaveras County



# Calaveras County



# Colusa County



# Contra Costa County

